arXiv:1409.1729v2 [math.RA] 29 Mar 2016

A non-abelian tensor product of Hom-Lie algebras

J. M. Casas[(1)], E. Khmaladze[(2)] and N. Pacheco Rego[(3)]

[(1)]Dpto. Matemática Aplicada I, Univ. de Vigo, 36005 Pontevedra, Spain
e-mail address: jmcasas@uvigo.es

[(2)]A. Razmadze Math. Inst. of I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University,
Tamarashvili Str. 6, 0177 Tbilisi, Georgia and
Dpto. Matemática Aplicada I, Univ. de Vigo, 36005 Pontevedra, Spain
e-mail address: e.khmal@gmail.com

[(3)]IPCA, Dpto. de Ciências, Campus do IPCA, Lugar do Aldão
4750-810 Vila Frescainha, S. Martinho, Barcelos, Portugal
e-mail address: natarego@gmail.com

Abstract: Non-abelian tensor product of Hom-Lie algebras is constructed and studied. This tensor product is used to describe universal (α-)central extensions of Hom-Lie algebras and to establish a relation between cyclic and Milnor cyclic homologies of Hom-associative algebras satisfying certain additional condition.

Key words: Hom-Lie algebra, Hom-action, semi-direct product, derivation, non-abelian tensor product, universal (α)-central extension.

A. M. S. Subject Class. (2010): 17A30, 17B55, 17B60, 18G35, 18G60

Introduction

The concept of a Hom-Lie algebra was initially introduced in [9] motivated by discretization of vector fields via twisted derivations. A Hom-Lie algebra is a non-associative algebra satisfying the skew symmetry and the Jacobi identity twisted by a map. When this map is the identity map, then the definition of a Lie algebra is recovered. Thus, it is natural to seek for possible generalizations of known theories from Lie to Hom-Lie algebras. In this context, recently there have been several works dealing with the study of Hom-Lie structures (see [3], [12], [14] - [19]).

In this paper we introduce a non-abelian tensor product of Hom-Lie algebras generalizing the non-abelian tensor product of Lie algebras [7] and investigate its properties. In particular, we study its relation to the low dimensional homology of Hom-Lie algebras developed in [17, 19]. We use this tensor product in the description of the universal (α-) central extensions of Hom-Lie algebras considered in [3]. We give an application of our non-abelian tensor product of Hom-Lie

algebras to cyclic homology of Hom-associative algebras [17]. Namely, for Hom-associative algebras satisfying an additional condition, which we chose to call α-identity condition, we establish a relation between cyclic and Milnor cyclic homologies in terms of exact sequences.

Note that not all classical results can be generalized from Lie to Hom-Lie algebras, for example, results on universal central extensions of Lie algebras cannot be extended directly to Hom-Lie algebras and they are divided between universal central and universal α-central extensions of Hom-Lie algebras (see Section 3). Further, in order to obtain Hom-algebra version of Guin's result relating cyclic and Milnor cyclic homology of associative algebras [8], we need to consider a subclass of Hom-associative algebras defined by the α-identity condition (see Definition 4.2).

Notations

Throughout this paper we fix $\mathbb{K}$ as a ground field. Vector spaces are considered over $\mathbb{K}$ and linear maps are $\mathbb{K}$-linear maps. We write $\otimes$ (resp. $\wedge$) for the tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$ (resp. exterior product $\wedge_{\mathbb{K}}$) over $\mathbb{K}$. For any vector space (resp. Hom-Lie algebra) L, a subspace (resp. an ideal) L' and $x \in L$ we write $\overline{x}$ to denote the coset $x + L'$.

1 Hom-Lie algebras

In this section we review some terminology and recall notions used in the paper. We mainly follow [9, 12, 14, 17], although with some modifications.

1.1 Basic definitions

Definition 1.1 *A Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L) is a non-associative algebra L together with a linear map $\alpha_L : L \to L$ satisfying*

$$[x, y] = -[y, x], \qquad \textit{(skew-symmetry)}$$
$$[\alpha_L(x), [y, z]] + [\alpha_L(z), [x, y]] + [\alpha_L(y), [z, x]] = 0 \qquad \textit{(Hom-Jacobi identity)}$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$, where $[-,-]$ denotes the product in L.

In this paper we only consider (the so called multiplicative) Hom-Lie algebras (L, α_L) such that α_L preserves the product, i.e. $\alpha_L[x, y] = [\alpha_L(x), \alpha_L(y)]$ for all $x, y \in L$.

Example 1.2

a) Taking $\alpha_L = \mathrm{id}_L$, Definition 1.1 gives us the definition of a Lie algebra. Hence any Lie algebra L can be considered as a Hom-Lie algebra (L, id_L).

b) Let V be a vector space and $\alpha_V : V \to V$ a linear map, then the pair (V, α_V) is called Hom-vector space. A Hom-vector space (V, α_V) together with the trivial product $[-,-]$ (i.e. $[x, y] = 0$ for any $x, y \in V$) is a Hom-Lie algebra (V, α_V) and it is called abelian Hom-Lie algebra.

c) Let L be a Lie algebra, $[-,-]$ be the product in L and $\alpha : L \to L$ be a Lie algebra endomorphism. Define $[-,-]_\alpha : L \otimes L \to L$ by $[x, y]_\alpha = \alpha_L[x, y]$, for all $x, y \in L$. Then (L, α) with the product $[-,-]_\alpha$ is a Hom-Lie algebra [17, Theorem 5.3].

d) Any Hom-associative algebra [14] becomes a Hom-Lie algebra (see Section 4 below).

Hom-Lie algebras form a category `HomLie` whose *morphisms* from (L, α_L) to $(L', \alpha_{L'})$ are algebra homomorphisms $f : L \to L'$ such that $f \circ \alpha_L = \alpha_{L'} \circ f$. Clearly there is a full embedding `Lie` $\hookrightarrow$ `HomLie`, $L \mapsto (L, \mathrm{id}_L)$, where `Lie` denotes the category of Lie algebras.

It is a routine task to check that `HomLie` satisfies the axioms of a semi-abelian category [1]. Consequently, the well-known Snake Lemma is valid for Hom-Lie algebras and we will use it in the sequel. Below we give the ad-hoc definitions of ideal, center, commutator, action and semi-direct product of Hom-Lie algebras and of course these notions agree with the respective general notions in the context of semi-abelian categories (see e.g. [2]).

Definition 1.3 *A Hom-Lie subalgebra (H, α_H) of a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L) is a vector subspace H of L closed under the product, that is $[x, y] \in H$ for all $x, y \in H$, together with the endomorphism $\alpha_H : H \to H$ being the restriction of α_L on H. In such a case we may write $\alpha_{L|}$ for α_H.*

A Hom-Lie subalgebra $(H, \alpha_{L|})$ of (L, α_L) is said to be an ideal if $[x, y] \in H$ for any $x \in H$, $y \in L$.

If $(H, \alpha_{L|})$ is an ideal of a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L), then $(L/H, \overline{\alpha}_L)$, where $\overline{\alpha}_L : L/H \to L/H$ is induced by α_L, naturally inherits a structure of Hom-Lie algebra and it is called quotient Hom-Lie algebra.

Let $(H, \alpha_{L|})$ and $(K, \alpha_{L|})$ be ideals of a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L). The commutator (resp. sum) of $(H, \alpha_{L|})$ and $(K, \alpha_{L|})$, denoted by $([H, K], \alpha_{L|})$ (resp. $(H + K, \alpha_{L|})$), is the Hom-Lie subalgebra of (L, α_L) spanned by the elements $[h, k]$ (resp. $h + k$), $h \in H$, $k \in K$.

The following lemma is an easy exercise.

Lemma 1.4 *Let $(H, \alpha_{L|})$ and $(K, \alpha_{L|})$ be ideals of a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L). The following statements hold:*

a) $(H \cap K, \alpha_{L|})$ and $(H + K, \alpha_{L|})$ are ideals of (L, α_L);

b) $[H, K] \subseteq H \cap K$;

c) If α_L *is surjective, then* $([H, K], \alpha_{L|})$ *is an ideal of* (L, α_L);

d) $([H, K], \alpha_{L|})$ *is an ideal of* $(H, \alpha_{L|})$ *and* $(K, \alpha_{L|})$. *In particular,* $([L, L], \alpha_{L|})$ *is an ideal of* (L, α_L);

e) $(\alpha_L(L), \alpha_{L|})$ *is a Hom-Lie subalgebra of* (L, α_L);

f) If $H, K \subseteq \alpha_L(L)$, *then* $([H, K], \alpha_{L|})$ *is an ideal of* $(\alpha_L(L), \alpha_{L|})$.

Definition 1.5 *The center of a Hom-Lie algebra* (L, α_L) *is the vector subspace*

$$Z(L) = \{x \in L \mid [x, y] = 0 \ \text{ for all } y \in L\}.$$

Remark 1.6 *When* $\alpha_L : L \to L$ *is a surjective endomorphism, then* $(Z(L), \alpha_{L|})$ *is an abelian Hom-Lie algebra and an ideal of* (L, α_L).

1.2 Hom-action and semi-direct product

Definition 1.7 *Let* (L, α_L) *and* (M, α_M) *be Hom-Lie algebras. A Hom-action of* (L, α_L) *on* (M, α_M) *is a linear map* $L \otimes M \to M$, $x \otimes m \mapsto {}^x m$, *satisfying the following properties:*

a) ${}^{[x,y]}\alpha_M(m) = {}^{\alpha_L(x)}({}^y m) - {}^{\alpha_L(y)}({}^x m)$,

b) ${}^{\alpha_L(x)}[m, m'] = [{}^x m, \alpha_M(m')] + [\alpha_M(m), {}^x m']$,

c) $\alpha_M({}^x m) = {}^{\alpha_L(x)}\alpha_M(m)$

for all $x, y \in L$ *and* $m, m' \in M$.

The Hom-action is called trivial if ${}^x m = 0$ *for all* $x \in L$ *and* $m \in M$.

Remark 1.8 *If* (M, α_M) *is an abelian Hom-Lie algebra enriched with a Hom-action of* (L, α_L), *then* (M, α_M) *is nothing else but a Hom-module over* (L, α_L) *(see [17] for the definition).*

Example 1.9

a) Let (L, α_L) *be a Hom-subalgebra of a Hom-Lie algebra* (K, α_K) *and* (H, α_H) *an ideal of* (K, α_K). *Then there exists a Hom-action of* (L, α_L) *on* (H, α_H) *given by the product in* K. *In particular, there is a Hom-action of* (L, α_L) *on itself given by the product in* L.

b) Let L *and* M *be Lie algebras. Any Lie action of* L *on* M *(see e.g. [7]) defines a Hom-action of* (L, id_L) *on* (M, id_M).

c) Let L be a Lie algebra and $\alpha : L \to L$ be an endomorphism. Suppose M is an L-module in the usual sense and the action of L on M satisfies the condition ${}^{\alpha(x)}m = {}^{x}m$, for all $x \in L$, $m \in M$. Then (M, id_M) is a Hom-module over the Hom-Lie algebra (L, α) considered in Example 1.2 c).

As an example of such L, α and M we can consider L to be the 2-dimensional vector space with basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$, together with the product $[e_1, e_2] = -[e_2, e_1] = e_1$ and zero elsewhere, α to be represented by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and M to be the ideal of L generated by $\{e_1\}$.

d) Any homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras $(L, \alpha_L) \to (M, \alpha_M)$ induces a Hom-action of (L, α_L) on (M, α_M) in the standard way by taking images of elements of L and product in M.

e) Let $0 \to (M, \alpha_M) \xrightarrow{i} (K, \alpha_K) \xrightarrow{\pi} (L, \alpha_L) \to 0$ be a split short exact sequence of Hom-Lie algebras, that is, there exists a homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras $s : (L, \alpha_L) \to (K, \alpha_K)$ such that $\pi \circ s = \mathrm{id}_L$. Then there is a Hom-action of (L, α_L) on (M, α_M) defined in the standard way: ${}^{x}m = i^{-1}[s(x), i(m)]$, $x \in L$, $m \in M$.

Definition 1.10 *Given a Hom-action of a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L) on a Hom-Lie algebra (M, α_M) we define the semi-direct product Hom-Lie algebra, $(M \rtimes L, \alpha_\rtimes)$, with the underlying vector space $M \oplus L$, endowed with the product*

$$[(m_1, x_1), (m_2, x_2)] = ([m_1, m_2] + {}^{\alpha_L(x_1)}m_2 - {}^{\alpha_L(x_2)}m_1, [x_1, x_2]),$$

together with the endomorphism $\alpha_\rtimes : M \rtimes L \to M \rtimes L$ given by $\alpha_\rtimes(m, x) = (\alpha_M(m), \alpha_L(x))$ for all $x, x_1, x_2 \in L$ and $m, m_1, m_2 \in M$.

Straightforward calculations show that $(M \rtimes L, \alpha_\rtimes)$ indeed is a Hom-Lie algebra and there is a short exact sequence of Hom-Lie algebras

$$0 \to (M, \alpha_M) \xrightarrow{i} (M \rtimes L, \alpha_\rtimes) \xrightarrow{\pi} (L, \alpha_L) \to 0, \tag{1}$$

where $i(m) = (m, 0)$, $\pi(m, l) = l$. Moreover, (M, α_M) is an ideal of $(M \rtimes L, \alpha_\rtimes)$ and this sequence splits by $s : (L, \alpha_L) \to (M \rtimes L, \alpha_\rtimes)$, $s(l) = (0, l)$. Then, as in Example 1.9 *e)*, the above sequence defines a Hom-action of (L, α_L) on (M, α_M) given by

$${}^{l}m = i^{-1}\left[(0, l), (m, 0)\right] = i^{-1}\left({}^{\alpha_L(l)}m, 0\right) = {}^{\alpha_L(l)}m.$$

So, in general, the Hom-action of (L, α_L) on (M, α_M) defined by the split short exact sequence (1) does not coincide with the initial Hom-action of (L, α_L) on (M, α_M), but it coincides with the induced Hom-action of $(\alpha_L(L), \alpha_{L|})$ on (M, α_M).

Definition 1.11 *Let (M, α_M) be a Hom-module over a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L). A derivation from (L, α_L) to (M, α_M) is a linear map $d : L \to M$ satisfying*

a) $d[x, y] = {}^{\alpha_L(x)}d(y) - {}^{\alpha_L(y)}d(x)$ *for all* $x, y \in L$,

b) $\alpha_M \circ d = d \circ \alpha_L$.

We denote by $\mathrm{Der}_\alpha(L, M)$ *the vector space of all derivations from* (L, α_L) *to* (M, α_M).

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 1.12 *Let (M, α_M) be a Hom-module over a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L). Then the projection $\theta : M \rtimes L \to M$, $\theta(m, l) = m$, is a derivation, where (M, α_M) is considered as a Hom-module over $(M \rtimes L, \alpha_\rtimes)$ via the homomorphism π in (1).*

Proposition 1.13 *Let (M, α_M) be a Hom-module over a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L). For every homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras $f : (K, \alpha_K) \to (L, \alpha_L)$ and every derivation $d : (K, \alpha_K) \to (M, \alpha_M)$ there exists a unique homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras $h : (K, \alpha_K) \to (M \rtimes L, \alpha_\rtimes)$ such that $\pi \circ h = f$ and $\theta \circ h = d$, that is, the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & (K, \alpha_K) & & \\ & \swarrow^{d} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} & \searrow^{f} & \\ (M, \alpha_M) & \underset{\theta}{\overset{i}{\rightleftarrows}} & (M \rtimes L, \alpha_\rtimes) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & (L, \alpha_L)\,. \end{array}$$

Here (M, α_M) is regarded as a Hom-module over (K, α_K) via f.

Conversely, every homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras $h : (K, \alpha_K) \longrightarrow (M \rtimes L, \alpha_\rtimes)$, determines a homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras $f = \pi \circ h : (K, \alpha_K) \longrightarrow (L, \alpha_L)$ and a derivation $d = \theta \circ h : (K, \alpha_K) \longrightarrow (M, \alpha_M)$.

Proof. Define $h : (K, \alpha_K) \to (M \rtimes L, \alpha_\rtimes)$ by $h(x) = (d(x), f(x))$, $x \in K$. Then everything can be readily checked. □

By taking $(K, \alpha_K) = (L, \alpha_L)$ and $f = \mathrm{id}_L$ we get:

Corollary 1.14 *Let (M, α_M) be a Hom-module over a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L). The set of derivations from (L, α_L) to (M, α_M) is in a bijective correspondence with the set of homomorphisms $h : (L, \alpha_L) \to (M \rtimes L, \alpha_\rtimes)$ such that $\pi \circ h = \mathrm{id}_L$.*

Theorem 1.15 *Let $0 \to (N, \alpha_N) \xrightarrow{i} (K, \alpha_K) \xrightarrow{\pi} (L, \alpha_L) \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of Hom-Lie algebras and (M, α_M) a Hom-module over (L, α_L) (and so a Hom-module over (K, α_K) via π) such that the Hom-action satisfies the condition ${}^{\alpha_L(l)}m = {}^{l}m$, $l \in L$ and $m \in M$ (e.g. see Example 1.9 c)). Denote by $(N^{\mathrm{ab}}, \overline{\alpha}_N)$*

the quotient of (N, α_N) *by the ideal* $([N,N], \alpha_{N|})$. *Then* $(N^{\rm ab}, \overline{\alpha}_N)$ *has a Hom-module structure over* (L, α_L) *and there is a natural exact sequence of vector spaces*

$$0 \to {\rm Der}_\alpha(L,M) \xrightarrow{\Delta} {\rm Der}_\alpha(K,M) \xrightarrow{\rho} {\rm Hom}_L(N^{\rm ab}, M),$$

where ${\rm Hom}_L(N^{\rm ab}, M) = \{f : (N^{\rm ab}, \overline{\alpha}_N) \to (M, \alpha_M) \mid f({}^l\overline{n}) = {}^l f(\overline{n})\}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that the equality ${}^l\overline{n} = i^{-1}[x_l, i(n)]$, where $n \in N$, $l \in L$ and $x_l \in K$ such that $\pi(x_l) = l$, defines a Hom-module structure over (L, α_L) on $(N^{\rm ab}, \overline{\alpha}_N)$.

Let $\Delta(d) = d \circ \pi$, for $d \in {\rm Der}_\alpha(L,M)$. Obviously Δ is injective. For any $\delta \in {\rm Der}_\alpha(K,M)$, $\delta \circ i : (N, \alpha_N) \to (M, \alpha_M)$ is a homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras that vanishes on $[N,N]$ and so induces a homomorphism of abelian Hom-Lie algebras $\rho : (N^{\rm ab}, \overline{\alpha}_N) \to (M, \alpha_M)$. Now the remaining details are straightforward. □

Let us note that, of course the results above recover the well-known classical facts on semi-direct product of Lie algebras (see e.g. [10]).

1.3 Homology

The homology of Hom-Lie algebras, generalizing the classical Chevalley-Eilenberg homology of Lie algebras, is constructed in [17, 19] (see also [3]). Let us recall that the homology $H^\alpha_*(L,M)$ of a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L) with coefficients in a Hom-module (M, α_M) over (L, α_L) is defined as the homology of the chain complex $(C^\alpha_*(L,M), d_*)$, where

$$C^\alpha_n(L,M) = M \otimes \wedge^n L, \quad n \geq 0$$

and the boundary map $d_n : C^\alpha_n(L,M) \longrightarrow C^\alpha_{n-1}(L,M)$, $n \geq 1$, is given by

$$d_n(m \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i \ {}^{x_i}m \otimes \alpha_L(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\alpha_L(x_i)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_L(x_n) +$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} \, \alpha_M(m) \otimes [x_i, x_j] \wedge \alpha_L(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\alpha_L(x_i)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\alpha_L(x_j)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_L(x_n).$$

As usual $\widehat{\alpha_L(x_i)}$ means that the variable $\alpha_L(x_i)$ is omitted.

Let us remark that for a Lie algebra L and an L-module M, the chain complex $C^\alpha_*(L,M)$ is exactly the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex that defines the Lie algebra homology of L with coefficients in the L-module M.

Easy computations of low-dimensional cycles and boundaries provide the following results:

$$H^\alpha_0(L,M) = {\rm Ker}(d_0)/{\rm Im}(d_1) = M/^L M \ ,$$

where ${}^{L}M = \{{}^{x}m \mid m \in M,\ x \in L\}$. Moreover, if (M, α_M) is a trivial Hom-module over (L, α_L), i.e. ${}^{x}m = 0$ for all $x \in L$ and $m \in M$, then

$$H_1^{\alpha}(L, M) = \mathrm{Ker}(d_1)/\mathrm{Im}(d_2) = (M \otimes L)/\big(\alpha_M(M) \otimes [L, L]\big).$$

In particular, if $M = \mathbb{K}$, then $H_1^{\alpha}(L, \mathbb{K}) = L/[L, L]$.

Below we use the notation $H_n^{\alpha}(L)$ for $H_n^{\alpha}(L, \mathbb{K})$.

2 Non-abelian tensor product of Hom-Lie algebras

In this section we introduce a non-abelian tensor product of Hom-Lie algebras which generalizes the non-abelian tensor product of Lie algebras [6], and study its properties.

Definition 2.1 *Let (M, α_M) and (N, α_N) be Hom-Lie algebras with Hom-actions on each other. The Hom-actions are said to be compatible if*

$${}^{({}^{m}n)}m' = [m', {}^{n}m] \quad \textit{and} \quad {}^{({}^{n}m)}n' = [n', {}^{m}n]$$

for all $m, m' \in M$ and $n, n' \in N$.

Example 2.2 *If (H, α_H) and $(H', \alpha_{H'})$ both are ideals of a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L), then the Hom-actions of (H, α_H) and $(H', \alpha_{H'})$ on each other, considered in Example 1.9 a), are compatible.*

Let (M, α_M) and (N, α_N) be Hom-Lie algebras acting on each other compatibly. Consider the Hom-vector space $(M \otimes N, \alpha_{M\otimes N})$ given by the tensor product $M \otimes N$ of the underlying vector spaces and the linear map $\alpha_{M\otimes N} : M \otimes N \to M \otimes N$, $\alpha_{M\otimes N}(m \otimes n) = \alpha_M(m) \otimes \alpha_N(n)$. Denote by $D(M, N)$ subspace of $M \otimes N$ generated by all elements of the form

a) $[m, m'] \otimes \alpha_N(n) - \alpha_M(m) \otimes {}^{m'}n + \alpha_M(m') \otimes {}^{m}n$,

b) $\alpha_M(m) \otimes [n, n'] - {}^{n'}m \otimes \alpha_N(n) + {}^{n}m \otimes \alpha_N(n')$,

c) ${}^{n}m \otimes {}^{m}n$,

d) ${}^{n}m \otimes {}^{m'}n' + {}^{n'}m' \otimes {}^{m}n$,

e) $[{}^{n}m, {}^{n'}m'] \otimes \alpha_N({}^{m''}n'') + [{}^{n'}m', {}^{n''}m''] \otimes \alpha_N({}^{m}n) + [{}^{n''}m'', {}^{n}m] \otimes \alpha_N({}^{m'}n')$,

for $m, m', m'' \in M$ and $n, n', n'' \in N$.

Proposition 2.3 *The quotient vector space $(M \otimes N)/D(M,N)$ with the product*

$$[m \otimes n, m' \otimes n'] = -{}^{n}m \otimes {}^{m'}n' \tag{2}$$

and together with the endomorphism $(M \otimes N)/D(M,N) \to (M \otimes N)/D(M,N)$ induced by $\alpha_{M\otimes N}$, is a Hom-Lie algebra.

Proof. It is clear that $\alpha_{M\otimes N}$ preserves the elements of $D(M,N)$ as well as the product defined by (2). Routine calculations show that this product is compatible with the defining relations of $(M \otimes N)/D(M,N)$ and can be extended from generators to any elements. Since the actiones of (M,α_M) and (N,α_N) on each other are compatible, it follows by direct calculations that the product (2) satisfies the skew-symmetry and the Hom-Jacobi identity. □

Definition 2.4 *The above Hom-Lie algebra structure on $(M \otimes N)/D(M,N)$ is called the non-abelian tensor product of Hom-Lie algebras (M,α_M) and (N,α_N) (or Hom-Lie tensor product for short). It will be denoted by $(M \star N, \alpha_{M\star N})$ and the equivalence class of $m \otimes n$ will be denoted by $m \star n$.*

Remark 2.5 *Note that if $\alpha_M = \mathrm{id}_M$ and $\alpha_N = \mathrm{id}_N$ then $M \star N$ is the non-abelian tensor product of Lie algebras M and N given in [6] (see also [7, 11]).*

The Hom-Lie tensor product can also be defined by a universal property in the following way.

Definition 2.6 *Let (M,α_M) and (N,α_N) be Hom-Lie algebras acting on each other. For any Hom-Lie algebra (L,α_L), a bilinear map $h : (M \times N, \alpha_M \times \alpha_N) \to (L,\alpha_L)$ is said to be a Hom-Lie pairing if the following properties are satisfied:*

a) $h([m,m'],\alpha_N(n)) = h(\alpha_M(m), {}^{m'}n) - h(\alpha_M(m'), {}^{m}n)$,

b) $h(\alpha_M(m),[n,n']) = h({}^{n'}m, \alpha_N(n)) - h({}^{n}m, \alpha_N(n'))$,

c) $h({}^{n}m, {}^{m'}n') = -[h(m,n), h(m',n')]$,

d) $h \circ (\alpha_M \times \alpha_N) = \alpha_L \circ h$,

for all $m,m' \in M$, $n,n' \in N$.

Example 2.7

a) If $\alpha_L = \mathrm{id}_L, \alpha_M = \mathrm{id}_M$ and $\alpha_N = \mathrm{id}_N$, then Definition 2.6 recovers the definition of Lie paring given in [7].

b) Let (M,α_M) and (N,α_N) be ideals of a Hom-Lie algebra (L,α_L), then the bilinear map $h : (M \times N, \alpha_M \times \alpha_N) \to (M \cap N, \alpha_{M\cap N})$, given by $h(m,n) = [m,n]$, is a Hom-Lie pairing.

Definition 2.8 *A Hom-Lie pairing $h : (M \times N, \alpha_M \times \alpha_N) \to (L, \alpha_L)$ is said to be universal if for any other Hom-Lie pairing $h' : (M \times N, \alpha_M \times \alpha_N) \to (L', \alpha_{L'})$ there is a unique homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras $\theta : (L, \alpha_L) \to (L', \alpha_{L'})$ such that $\theta \circ h = h'$.*

Clearly, if h is universal, then (L, α_L) is determined up to isomorphism by $(M, \alpha_M), (N, \alpha_N)$ and the Hom-actions. Moreover, it is straightforward to show the following

Proposition 2.9 *Let (M, α_M) and (N, α_N) be Hom-Lie algebras acting on each other compatibly. The map*

$$h : (M \times N, \alpha_M \times \alpha_N) \to (M \star N, \alpha_{M\star N}), \quad (m, n) \mapsto m \star n$$

is a universal Hom-Lie paring.

The Hom-Lie tensor product is symmetric in the sense of the following isomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras

$$(M \star N, \alpha_{M\star N}) \xrightarrow{\approx} (N \star M, \alpha_{N\star M}), \quad m \star n \mapsto n \star m.$$

This follows by the fact that $h : (M \times N, \alpha_M \times \alpha_N) \to (N \star M, \alpha_{N\star M})$, $(m, n) \mapsto n \star m$ is a Hom-Lie pairing and the universal property of $(M \star N, \alpha_{M\star N})$ thus yields a homomorphism $(M \star N, \alpha_{M\star N}) \to (N \star M, \alpha_{N\star M})$, the inverse of which is defined similarly.

Sometimes the Hom-Lie tensor product can be described as the tensor product of vector spaces. In particular, we have the following

Proposition 2.10 *If the Hom-Lie algebras (M, α_M) and (N, α_N) act trivially on each other and both α_M, α_N are epimorphisms, then there is an isomorphism of abelian Hom-Lie algebras*

$$(M \star N, \alpha_{M\star N}) \approx (M^{ab} \otimes N^{ab}, \alpha_{M^{ab}\otimes N^{ab}}),$$

where $M^{ab} = M/[M, M]$, $N^{ab} = N/[N, N]$ and $\alpha_{M^{ab}\otimes N^{ab}}$ is induced by α_M and α_N.

Proof. Since the Hom-actions are trivial, the relation (2) enables us to see that $(M \star N, \alpha_{M\star N})$ is an abelian Hom-Lie algebra. Further, since α_M and α_N are epimorphisms, the defining relations of the Hom-Lie tensor product say that the vector space $M \star N$ is the quotient of $M \otimes N$ by the relations $[m, m'] \otimes n = 0 = m \otimes [n, n']$ for all $m, m' \in M$, $n, n' \in N$. The later is isomorphic to $M^{ab} \otimes N^{ab}$ and this isomorphism commutes with the endomorphisms $\alpha_{M^{ab}\otimes N^{ab}}$ and $\alpha_{M\star N}$. □

The Hom-Lie tensor product is functorial in the following sense: if $f : (M, \alpha_M) \to (M', \alpha_{M'})$ and $g : (N, \alpha_N) \to (N', \alpha_{N'})$ are homomorphisms of Hom-Lie algebras together with compatible Hom-actions of (M, α_M) (resp. $(M', \alpha_{M'})$) and (N, α_N) (resp. $(N', \alpha_{N'})$) on each other such that f, g preserve these Hom-actions, that is

$$f({}^n m) = {}^{g(n)} f(m), \quad g({}^m n) = {}^{f(m)} g(n), \qquad m \in M,\ n \in N,$$

then there is a homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras

$$f \star g : (M \star N, \alpha_{M\star N}) \to (M' \star N', \alpha_{M'\star N'})$$

defined by $(f \star g)(m \star n) = f(m) \star g(n)$.

Proposition 2.11 *Let $0 \to (M_1, \alpha_{M_1}) \xrightarrow{f} (M_2, \alpha_{M_2}) \xrightarrow{g} (M_3, \alpha_{M_3}) \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of Hom-Lie algebras. Let (N, α_N) be a Hom-Lie algebra together with compatible Hom-actions of (N, α_N) and (M_i, α_{M_i}) $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ on each other and f, g preserve these Hom-actions. Then there is an exact sequence of Hom-Lie algebras*

$$(M_1 \star N, \alpha_{M_1\star N}) \xrightarrow{f\star \mathrm{id}_N} (M_2 \star N, \alpha_{M_2\star N}) \xrightarrow{g\star \mathrm{id}_N} (M_3 \star N, \alpha_{M_3\star N}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Clearly $g \star \mathrm{id}_N$ is an epimorphism and $\mathrm{Im}(f \star \mathrm{id}_N) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(g \star \mathrm{id}_N)$. Now $\mathrm{Im}(f \star \mathrm{id}_N)$ is generated by all elements of the form $f(m_1) \star n_1$ with $m_1 \in M_1$, $n_1 \in N$ and it is an ideal in $(M_2 \star N, \alpha_{M_2\star N})$ since we have

$$[f(m_1) \star n_1, m_2 \star n_2] = -f({}^{n_1} m_1) \star {}^{m_2} n_2 \in \mathrm{Im}(f \star \mathrm{id}_N)$$

for any generator $m_2 \star n_2 \in M_2 \star N$. Thus, $g \star \mathrm{id}_N$ yields a factorization

$$\xi : \big((M_2 \star N)/\,\mathrm{Im}(f \star \mathrm{id}_N), \overline{\alpha}_{M_2\star N}\big) \to (M_3 \star N, \alpha_{M_3\star N}).$$

In fact this is an isomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras with the inverse map

$$\xi' : (M_3 \star N, \alpha_{M_3\star N}) \to \big((M_2 \star N)/\,\mathrm{Im}(f \star \mathrm{id}_N), \overline{\alpha}_{M_2\star N}\big)$$

given on generators by $\xi'(m_3 \star n) = \overline{m_2 \star n}$, where $m_2 \in M_2$ such that $g(m_2) = m_3$. The remaining details are straightforward calculations and we leave to the reader. □

Proposition 2.12 *If (M, α_M) is an ideal of a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L), then there is an exact sequence of Hom-Lie algebras*

$$\big((M \star L) \rtimes (L \star M), \alpha_{\rtimes}\big) \xrightarrow{\sigma} (L \star L, \alpha_{L\star L}) \xrightarrow{\tau} (L/M \star L/M, \alpha_{L/M\star L/M}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. First we note that τ is the functorial homomorphism induced by the projection $(L, \alpha_L) \twoheadrightarrow (L/M, \alpha_{L/M})$ and clearly it is surjective. Let $\sigma' : (M \star L, \alpha_{M\star L}) \to (L\star L, \alpha_{L\star L})$ and $\sigma'' : (L\star M, \alpha_{L\star M}) \to (L\star L, \alpha_{L\star L})$ be the functorial homomorphisms induced by the inclusion $(M, \alpha_M) \hookrightarrow (L, \alpha_L)$ and by the identity map $(L, \alpha_L) \to (L, \alpha_L)$. Let $\sigma(x, y) = \sigma'(x) + \alpha_{L\star M} \circ \sigma''(y)$ for all $x \in M \star L$ and $y \in L \star M$. It is straightforward to see that σ is a homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras and $\tau \circ \sigma$ is the trivial homomorphism. Clearly $\mathrm{Im}(\sigma)$ is generated by the elements $m \star l$ and $\alpha_L(l) \star \alpha_M(m)$ for $m \in M$, $l \in L$ and, by the formula (2), it is an ideal of $(L\star L, \alpha_{L\star L})$. Let us define a homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras $\tau' : (L/M\star L/M, \alpha_{L/M\star L/M}) \to (L\star L, \alpha_{L\star L})/\mathrm{Im}(\sigma)$ by $\tau'(\overline{l}\star\overline{l'}) = \overline{l \star l'}$, $l, l' \in L$. It is easy to see that τ' is well-defined and it has an inverse homomorphism induced by τ. □

Lemma 2.13 *Let (M, α_M) and (N, α_N) be Hom-Lie algebras with compatible actions on each other.*

a) There are homomorphisms of Hom-Lie algebras

$$\psi_M : (M \star N, \alpha_{M\star N}) \to (M, \alpha_M), \quad \psi_M(m \star n) = -{}^n m,$$
$$\psi_N : (M \star N, \alpha_{M\star N}) \to (N, \alpha_N), \quad \psi_N(m \star n) = {}^m n.$$

b) There is a Hom-action of (M, α_M) (resp. (N, α_N)) on the Hom-Lie tensor product $(M \star N, \alpha_{M\star N})$ given, for all $m, m' \in M$, $n, n' \in N$, by

$$\begin{aligned} &{}^{m'}(m \star n) = [m', m] \star \alpha_N(n) + \alpha_M(m) \star {}^{m'} n \\ \big(\textit{resp.} \quad &{}^{n'}(m \star n) = {}^{n'} m \star \alpha_N(n) + \alpha_M(m) \star [n', n]\big) \end{aligned}$$

c) $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi_M)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi_N)$) is contained in the center of $(M \star N, \alpha_{M\star N})$.

d) The induced Hom-action of $\mathrm{Im}(\psi_1)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Im}(\psi_2)$) on $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi_1)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi_2)$) is trivial.

e) ψ_M and ψ_N satisfy the following properties for all $m, m' \in M$, $n, n' \in N$:

i) $\psi_M({}^{m'}(m \star n)) = [\alpha_M(m'), \psi_M(m \star n)]$,

ii) $\psi_N({}^{n'}(m \star n)) = [\alpha_N(n'), \psi_N(m \star n)]$,

iii) ${}^{\psi_M(m\star n)}(m' \star n') = [\alpha_{M\star N}(m \star n), m' \star n'] = {}^{\psi_N(m\star n)}(m' \star n')$.

Proof. Everything can be readily checked thanks to the compatibility conditions and the relation (2). □

Remark 2.14 *If $\alpha_M = id_M$ and $\alpha_N = id_N$, then both ψ_M and ψ_N in Theorem 2.13 are crossed modules of Lie algebras (see [7]).*

Definition 2.15 *A Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L) is said to be perfect if $L = [L, L]$.*

Theorem 2.16 *Let (M, α_M) be an ideal of a perfect Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L). Then there is an exact sequence of vector spaces*

$$\mathrm{Ker}(M \star L \xrightarrow{\psi_M} M) \to H_2^{\alpha}(L) \to H_2^{\alpha}(L/M) \to M/[L, M] \to 0$$

Proof. Thanks to Proposition 2.12 there is a commutative diagram of Hom-Lie algebras with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & ((M\star L)\rtimes(L\star M),\alpha_{\rtimes}) & \longrightarrow & (L\star L,\alpha_{L\star L}) & \xrightarrow{\pi\star\pi} & (L/M\star L/M,\alpha_{L/M\star L/M}) & \longrightarrow & 0\\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_L} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_{L/M}} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (M,\alpha_M) & \longrightarrow & (L,\alpha_L) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & (L/M,\alpha_{L/M}) & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}$$

where $\psi((m_1, l_1), (l_2, m_2)) = [m_1, l_1] + [\alpha_L(l_2), \alpha_M(m_2)]$. Then, by using the Snake Lemma, the assertion follows from Remark 3.5 below and the fact that there is a surjective map $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi) \to \mathrm{Ker}(\psi_M)$. □

Remark 2.17 *If $\alpha_L = \mathrm{id}_L$, then the exact sequence in Theorem 2.16 is part of the six-term exact sequence in [6].*

3 Application in universal (α-)central extensions of Hom-Lie algebras

In this section we complement by new results the investigation of universal central extensions of Hom-Lie algebras done in [3]. We also describe universal (α-)central extensions via Hom-Lie tensor product.

Definition 3.1 *A central (resp. α-central) extension of a Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L) is an exact sequence of Hom-Lie algebras*

$$(\mathfrak{K}): \quad 0 \longrightarrow (M, \alpha_M) \longrightarrow (K, \alpha_K) \xrightarrow{\pi} (L, \alpha_L) \longrightarrow 0$$

such that $[M, K] = 0$, i.e. $M \subseteq Z(K)$ (resp. $[\alpha_M(M), K] = 0$, i.e. $\alpha_M(M) \subseteq Z(K)$).

A central extension $(\mathfrak{K})$ is called universal central (resp. universal α-central) extension if, for every central (resp. α-central) extension $(\mathfrak{K}')$ of (L, α_L) there exists one and only one homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras $h : (K, \alpha_K) \to (K', \alpha_{K'})$ such that $\pi' \circ h = \pi$.

Remark 3.2 *Obviously every central extension is an α-central extension and these notions coincide when $\alpha_M = \mathrm{id}_M$. On the other hand, every universal α-central extension is a universal central extension and these notions coincide when $\alpha_M = \mathrm{id}_M$. Let us also observe that if a universal (α-)central extension exists then it is unique up to isomorphism.*

The category `HomLie` is an example of a semi-abelian category which does not satisfy universal central extension condition in the sense of [4], that is, the composition of central extensions of Hom-Lie algebras is not central in general, but it is an α-central extension (see Theorem 3.3 *a)* below). This fact does not allow complete generalization of classical results to Hom-Lie algebras and the well-known properties of universal central extensions are divided between universal central and universal α-central extensions of Hom-Lie algebras. In particular, the assertions in the following theorem are proved in [3].

Theorem 3.3

a) Let $(K, \alpha_K) \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$ *and* $(F, \alpha_F) \overset{\rho}{\twoheadrightarrow} (K, \alpha_K)$ *be central extensions with* (K, α_K) *a perfect Hom-Lie algebra. Then the composition extension* $(F, \alpha_F) \overset{\pi\circ\rho}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$ *is an* α*-central extension.*

b) Let $(K, \alpha_K) \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$ *and* $(K', \alpha_{K'}) \overset{\pi'}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$ *be two central extensions of* (L, α_L)*. If* (K, α_K) *is perfect, then there exists at most one homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras* $f : (K, \alpha_K) \to (K', \alpha_{K'})$ *such that* $\pi' \circ f = \pi$*.*

c) If $(K, \alpha_K) \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$ *is a universal* α*-central extension, then* (K, α_K) *is a perfect Hom-Lie algebra and every central extension of* (K, α_K) *splits.*

d) If (K, α_K) *is a perfect Hom-Lie algebra and every central extension of* (K, α_K) *splits, then any central extension* $(K, \alpha_K) \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$ *is a universal central extension.*

e) A Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L) *admits a universal central extension if and only if* (L, α_L) *is perfect. Furthermore, the kernel of the universal central extension is canonically isomorphic to the second homology* $H_2^\alpha(L)$*.*

f) If $(K, \alpha_K) \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$ *is a universal* α*-central extension, then* $H_1^\alpha(K) = H_2^\alpha(K) = 0$*.*

g) If $H_1^\alpha(K) = H_2^\alpha(K) = 0$*, then any central extension* $(K, \alpha_K) \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$ *is a universal central extension.*

It follows from Lemma 2.13 that for any Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L) the homomorphism

$$\psi : (L \star L, \alpha_{L\star L}) \twoheadrightarrow ([L, L], \alpha_{L|}), \quad \psi(l \star l') = [l, l'],$$

is a central extension of the Hom-Lie algebra $([L, L], \alpha_{L|})$.

Theorem 3.4 *If* (L, α_L) *is a perfect Hom-Lie algebra, then the central extension* $(L \star L, \alpha_{L\star L}) \overset{\psi}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$ *is the universal central extension of* (L, α_L)*.*

Proof. Let $(C, \alpha_C) \overset{\phi}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$ be a central extension of (L, α_L). Since $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi)$ is in the center of (C, α_C), we get a well-defined homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras $f : (L \star L, \alpha_{L\star L}) \to (C, \alpha_C)$ given on generators by $f(l \star l') = [c_l, c_{l'}]$, where c_l and $c_{l'}$ are any elements in $\phi^{-1}(l)$ and $\phi^{-1}(l')$, respectively. Obviously $\phi \circ f = \psi$ and $f \circ \alpha_{L\star L} = \alpha_C \circ f$, having in mind that $\alpha_C(c_l) \in \phi^{-1}(\alpha_L(l))$ for all $l \in L$. Since L is perfect, then by equality (2), so is $L \star L$. Hence the homomorphism f is unique by Theorem 3.3 *b)*. □

Remark 3.5 *If the Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L) if perfect, by Theorem 3.3 e) we have that $H_2^\alpha(L) \approx \mathrm{Ker}(L \star L \overset{\psi}{\to} L)$.*

Now we obtain a condition for the existence of the universal α-central extensions. We need the following notion.

Definition 3.6 *A Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L) is said to be α-perfect if $L = [\alpha_L(L), \alpha_L(L)]$.*

Example 3.7 *Consider the situation when the ground field $\mathbb{K}$ is the field of complex numbers. Let L be the three-dimensional vector space with basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. Define product in L by $[e_1, e_2] = -[e_2, e_1] = e_3$, $[e_2, e_3] = -[e_3, e_2] = e_1$, $[e_3, e_1] = -[e_1, e_3] = e_2$ and zero elsewhere. Take the endomorphism $\alpha_L : L \to L$ represented by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$. Then (L, α_L) is an α-perfect Hom-Lie algebra.*

Remark 3.8

a) When $\alpha_L = \mathrm{id}_L$, the notions of perfect and α-perfect Hom-Lie algebras are the same.

b) Obviously, if (L, α_L) is an α-perfect Hom-Lie algebra, then it is perfect. Nevertheless the converse is not true in general. For example, the three-dimensional (as a vector space) Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L) with linear basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, product given by $[e_1, e_2] = -[e_2, e_1] = e_3$, $[e_1, e_3] = -[e_3, e_1] = e_2$, $[e_2, e_3] = -[e_3, e_2] = e_1$ and zero elsewhere, and endomorphism $\alpha_L = 0$ is perfect, but it is not α-perfect.

c) If (L, α_L) is α-perfect, then $L = \alpha_L(L)$, i.e. α_L is surjective. Nevertheless the converse is not true. For instance, consider the two-dimensional (as a vector space) Hom-Lie algebra with linear basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$, bracket given by $[e_1, e_2] = -[e_2, e_1] = e_2$ and zero elsewhere, and endomorphism α_L represented by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Obviously the endomorphism α_L is surjective, but $[\alpha_L(L), \alpha_L(L)] = \langle\{e_2\}\rangle$.

Lemma 3.9 *Let $(M, \alpha_M) \rightarrowtail (K, \alpha_K) \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$ be a central extension and (K, α_K) be an α-perfect Hom-Lie algebra. Let $(M', \alpha_{M'}) \rightarrowtail (K', \alpha_{K'}) \overset{\pi'}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$ be an α-central extension. Then there exists at most one homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras $f : (K, \alpha_K) \to (K', \alpha_{K'})$ such that $\pi' \circ f = \pi$.*

Proof. Let us assume that there are homomorphisms f_1 and f_2 such that $\pi' \circ f_1 = \pi = \pi' \circ f_2$. Then for any $k \in K$ we have $f_1(k) = f_2(k) + m'_k$, for some $m'_k \in M'$. By using the condition $\alpha_{M'}(M') \subseteq Z(K')$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1[\alpha_K(k_1), \alpha_K(k_2)] &= [\alpha_{K'} f_1(k_1), \alpha_{K'} f_1(k_2)] \\ &= [\alpha_{K'} f_2(k_1) + \alpha_{K'}(m'_{k_1}), \alpha_{K'} f_2(k_2) + \alpha_{K'}(m'_{k_2})] \\ &= [\alpha_{K'} f_2(k_1), \alpha_{K'} f_2(k_2)] \\ &= f_2[\alpha_K(k_1), \alpha_K(k_2)] \end{aligned}$$

for any $k_1, k_2 \in K$. This implies that $f_1 = f_2$, since (K, α_K) is α-perfect. □

Theorem 3.10 *An α-perfect Hom-Lie algebra admits a universal α-central extension.*

Proof. Given an α-perfect Hom-Lie algebra (L, α_L) we construct a universal α-central extension

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ker}(u_\alpha) \to (\mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L), \widetilde{\alpha}) \overset{u_\alpha}{\to} (L, \alpha_L) \to 0 \tag{3}$$

as follows. We consider the quotient vector space $\mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L) = \big(\alpha_L(L) \wedge \alpha_L(L)\big)/I_L$, where I_L is the vector subspace of $\alpha_L(L) \wedge \alpha_L(L)$ spanned by the elements of the form

$$-[x_1, x_2] \wedge \alpha_L(x_3) + [x_1, x_3] \wedge \alpha_L(x_2) - [x_2, x_3] \wedge \alpha_L(x_1)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in L$. Here we observe that every summand of the form $[x_1, x_2] \wedge \alpha_L(x_3)$ is an element of $\alpha_L(L) \wedge \alpha_L(L)$, since L is α-perfect and so $[x_1, x_2] \in L = [\alpha_L(L), \alpha_L(L)] \subseteq \alpha_L(L)$. We denote by $\{\alpha_L(x_1), \alpha_L(x_2)\}$ the equivalence class of $\alpha_L(x_1) \wedge \alpha_L(x_2)$. The product in $\mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L)$ is defined by

$$[\{\alpha_L(x_1), \alpha_L(x_2)\}, \{\alpha_L(y_1), \alpha_L(y_2)\}] = \{[\alpha_L(x_1), \alpha_L(x_2)], [\alpha_L(y_1), \alpha_L(y_2)]\}$$

and the endomorphism $\widetilde{\alpha} : \mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L) \to \mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L)$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(\{\alpha_L(x_1), \alpha_L(x_2)\}) = \{\alpha_L^2(x_1), \alpha_L^2(x_2)\}.$$

The map u_α is defined by $u_\alpha(\{\alpha_L(x_1), \alpha_L(x_2)\}) = [\alpha_L(x_1), \alpha_L(x_2)]$. Straightforward calculations show that $(\mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L), \widetilde{\alpha})$ is indeed a Hom-Lie algebra and u_α is a homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras. Moreover, u_α is surjective, because (L, α_L) is α-perfect.

Obviously the sequence (3) is a central extension. Moreover, it is a universal α-central extension. Indeed, consider any α-central extension $(M, \alpha_M) \rightarrowtail (K, \alpha_K) \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} (L, \alpha_L)$. We define $\Phi : (\mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L), \widetilde{\alpha}) \to (K, \alpha_K)$ by $\Phi(\{\alpha_L(x_1), \alpha_L(x_2)\}) = [\alpha_K(k_1), \alpha_K(k_2)]$, where $k_1, k_2 \in K$ such that $\pi(k_1) = x_1$, $\pi(k_2) = x_2$. It is well defined because of the equality $[\alpha_M(M), K] = 0$. Moreover, direct calculations show that Φ is a homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras and $\pi \circ \Phi = u_\alpha$. To prove the uniqueness of such Φ, by Lemma 3.9 it is enough to check that $\mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L)$ is α-perfect. For this later we do the following calculations:

$$[\widetilde{\alpha}\{\alpha_L(x_1), \alpha_L(x_2)\}, \widetilde{\alpha}\{\alpha_L(y_1), \alpha_L(y_2)\}] = \{[\alpha_L^2(x_1), \alpha_L^2(x_2)], [\alpha_L^2(y_1), \alpha_L^2(y_2)]\},$$

which implies that $[\widetilde{\alpha}(\mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L)), \widetilde{\alpha}(\mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L))] \subseteq \mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L)$. Conversely, having in mind that $L = [\alpha_L(L), \alpha_L(L)]$ and hence every element $x \in L$ can be written as $x = \sum_i \lambda_i [\alpha_L(l_{i_1}), \alpha_L(l_{i_2})]$ for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}$ and $l_{i_1}, l_{i_2} \in L$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\alpha_L(x_1), \alpha_L(x_2)\} &= \left\{ \alpha_L\left(\sum_i \lambda_i [\alpha_L(l_{i_1}), \alpha_L(l_{i_2})]\right), \alpha_L\left(\sum_j \lambda'_j [\alpha_L(l'_{j_1}), \alpha_L(l'_{j_2})]\right)\right\} \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \lambda'_j \left\{[\alpha_L^2(l_{i_1}), \alpha_L^2(l_{i_2})], [\alpha_L^2(l'_{j_1}), \alpha_L^2(l'_{j_2})]\right\} \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \lambda'_j \left[\{\alpha_L^2(l_{i_1}), \alpha_L^2(l_{i_2})\}, \{\alpha_L^2(l'_{j_1}), \alpha_L^2(l'_{j_2})\}\right] \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \lambda'_j \left[\widetilde{\alpha}\{\alpha_L(l_{i_1}), \alpha_L(l_{i_2})\}, \widetilde{\alpha}\{\alpha_L(l'_{j_1}), \alpha_L(l'_{j_2})\}\right] \in [\widetilde{\alpha}(\mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L)), \widetilde{\alpha}(\mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L))]
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\{\alpha_L(x_1), \alpha_L(x_2)\} \in \mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L)$. □

Theorem 3.11 *If (L, α_L) is an α-perfect Hom-Lie algebra, then the homomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras $\varphi : (\alpha_L(L) \star \alpha_L(L), \alpha_{\alpha_L(L)\star\alpha_L(L)}) \twoheadrightarrow (L, \alpha_L)$ given by $\varphi(\alpha_L(l_1) \star \alpha_L(l_2)) = [\alpha_L(l_1), \alpha_L(l_2)]$, is the universal α-central extension of (L, α_L). Moreover, there is an isomorphism of Hom-Lie algebras*

$$(\alpha_L(L) \star \alpha_L(L), \alpha_{\alpha_L(L)\star\alpha_L(L)}) \approx (\mathfrak{uce}_\alpha(L), \widetilde{\alpha}), \quad \alpha_L(l) \star \alpha_L(l') \mapsto \{\alpha_L(l), \alpha_L(l')\}.$$

Proof. This is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4 and we leave to the reader. □

4 Application in cyclic homology of Hom-associative algebras

Throughout this section we assume that $\mathbb{K}$ is a field of characteristic 0.

Definition 4.1 *By a Hom-associative algebra (see e.g. [14]) we mean a pair (A, α_A) consisting of a vector space A and a linear map $\alpha_A : A \to A$, together with a linear map (multiplication) $A \otimes A \to A$, $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$, such that*

$$\alpha_A(a)(bc) = (ab)\alpha_A(c),$$
$$\alpha_A(ab) = \alpha_A(a)\alpha_A(b)$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$.

The Hom version of the classical cyclic bicomplex (see e.g. [13]) is constructed in [17] and the cyclic homology of a Hom-associative algebra is defined as the homology of its total complex. A reformulation of this cyclic homology via Connes's complex for Hom-associative algebra is also given in [17, Proposition 4.7]. It follows that, given a Hom-associative algebra (A, α_A), the first cyclic homology $HC_1^\alpha(A)$ is the kernel of the homomorphism of vector spaces

$$\psi : A \otimes A/J(A, \alpha) \to [A, A], \quad a \otimes b \mapsto ab - ba,$$

where $[A, A]$ is the subspace of A generated by the elements $ab - ba$, and $J(A, \alpha)$ is the subspace of $A \otimes A$ generated by the elements

$$a \otimes b + b \otimes a \quad \text{and} \quad ab \otimes \alpha_A(c) - \alpha_A(a) \otimes bc + ca \otimes \alpha_A(b),$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$

Given a Hom-associative algebra (A, α_A), then it is endowed with a Hom-Lie algebra structure with the induced product $[a, b] = ab - ba$, $a, b \in A$ and the endomorphism α_A. Moreover, there is a Hom-Lie algebra structure on $A \otimes A/J(A, \alpha)$ given by

$$[a \otimes b, a' \otimes b'] = [a, b] \otimes [a', b']$$

and the endomorphism induced by α_A. We denote this Hom-Lie algebra by $(L^\alpha(A), \overline{\alpha}_A)$. In fact $(L^\alpha(A), \overline{\alpha}_A)$ is the quotient of the Hom-Lie tensor product $(A \star A, \alpha_{A\star A})$ by the ideal generated by the elements $a \star b + b \star a$ and $ab \star \alpha_A(c) - \alpha_A(a) \star bc + ca \star \alpha_A(b)$, for all $a, b, c \in A$.

Definition 4.2 *We say that a Hom-associative algebra (A, α_A) satisfies the α-identity condition if*

$$[A, \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_A - \mathrm{id}_A)] = 0, \tag{4}$$

where $[A, \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_A - \mathrm{id}_A)]$ is the subspace of A spanned by all elements $ab - ba$ with $a \in A$ and $b \in \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_A - \mathrm{id}_A)$.

Note that α-identity condition is equivalent to the condition $[a, b] = [\alpha_A(a), b]$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Example 4.3

a) Any Hom-associative algebra (A, α_A) with $\alpha_A = \mathrm{id}_A$ (i.e. an associative algebra) satisfies α-identity condition.

b) Any commutative Hom-associative algebra (A, α_A) (i.e. $ab = ba$ for all $a, b \in A$) with $\alpha_A = 0$ satisfies α-identity condition.

c) Consider the Hom-associative algebra (A, α_A), where as vector space A is 2-dimensional with basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$, the multiplication is given by $e_1e_1 = e_2$ and zero elsewhere, α_A is represented by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then (A, α_A) satisfies α-identity condition.

d) Consider the Hom-associative algebra (A, α_A), where as vector space A is 3-dimensional with basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, the multiplication is given by $e_1e_1 = e_2$, $e_1e_2 = e_3$, $e_2e_1 = e_3$ and zero elsewhere, α_A is represented by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then (A, α_A) satisfies α-identity condition.

Lemma 4.4 *Let (A, α_A) be a Hom-associative algebra.*

a) There are Hom-actions of Hom-Lie algebras (A, α_A) and $(L^\alpha(A), \overline{\alpha}_A)$ on each other. Moreover, these Hom-actions are compatible if (A, α_A) satisfies the α-identity condition (4).

b) There is a short exact sequence of Hom-Lie algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow (HC_1^\alpha(A), \alpha_{HC}) \xrightarrow{i} (L^\alpha(A), \overline{\alpha}_A) \xrightarrow{\psi} \big([A, A], \alpha_{A|}\big) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $(HC_1^\alpha(A), \alpha_{HC})$ is an abelian Hom-Lie algebra with α_{HC} induced by α_A, $\alpha_{A|}$ is the restriction of α_A and $\psi(a \otimes b) = [a, b]$.

c) The induced Hom-action of (A, α_A) on $(HC_1^\alpha(A), \alpha_{HC})$ is trivial. Moreover, if (A, α_A) satisfies the α-identity condition (4), then both i and ψ preserve the Hom-actions of the Hom-Lie algebra (A, α_A).

Proof. a) The Hom-action of (A, α_A) on $(L^\alpha(A), \overline{\alpha}_A)$ is given by

$${}^{a'}(a \otimes b) = [a', a] \otimes \alpha_A(b) + \alpha_A(a) \otimes [a', b],$$

while the Hom-action of $(L^\alpha(A), \overline{\alpha}_A)$ on (A, α_A) is defined by

$${}^{(a \otimes b)}a' = [[a, b], a']$$

for all $a', a, b \in A$. Straightforward calculations show that these are indeed Hom-actions of Hom-Lie algebras, which are compatible if (A, α_A) satisfies α-identity condition (4).

b) and *c)* are immediate consequences of the definitions above. □

By complete analogy to the Dennis-Stein generators [5], we define the first Milnor cyclic homology for Hom-associative algebras as follows.

Definition 4.5 *Let (A, α_A) be a Hom-associative algebra. The first Milnor cyclic homology $HC_1^M(A, \alpha_A)$ is the quotient vector space of $A \otimes A$ by the relations*

$$\begin{aligned} &a \otimes b + b \otimes a = 0, \\ &ab \otimes \alpha_A(c) - \alpha_A(a) \otimes bc + ca \otimes \alpha_A(b) = 0, \\ &\alpha_A(a) \otimes bc - \alpha_A(a) \otimes cb = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$.

Of course for $\alpha_A = \mathrm{id}_A$ this is the definition of the first Milnor cyclic homology of the associative algebra A in the sense of [13] (see also [11]). Note also that $HC_1^M(A, \alpha_A)$ coincides with $HC_1^\alpha(A)$ when (A, α_A) is commutative.

Theorem 4.6 *Let (A, α_A) be a Hom-associative (non-commutative) algebra satisfying the α-identity condition (4). Then there is an exact sequence of vector spaces*

$$\begin{aligned} A \star HC_1^\alpha(A) \to \mathrm{Ker}\left(A \star L^\alpha(A) \to L^\alpha(A)\right) \to \mathrm{Ker}\left(A \star [A,A] \to [A,A]\right) \\ \to HC_1^\alpha(A) \to HC_1^M(A, \alpha_A) \to \frac{[A,A]}{[A,[A,A]]} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By using Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 2.11 we have the commutative diagram of Hom-Lie algebras (written without α endomorphisms)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & A \star HC_1^\alpha(A) & \longrightarrow & A \star L^\alpha(A) & \longrightarrow & A \star [A,A] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_3} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & HC_1^\alpha(A) & \longrightarrow & L^\alpha(A) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & [A,A] & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Since $\mathrm{Coker}(\psi_3) = [A,A]/[A,[A,A]]$, $\mathrm{Coker}(\psi_2) = HC_1^M(A, \alpha_A)$, $\mathrm{Coker}(\psi_1) = HC_1^\alpha(A)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi_1) = A \star HC_1^\alpha(A)$, the assertion is a consequence of the Snake Lemma. □

Let us remark that if α_A is an epimorphism, then the term $A \star HC_1^\alpha(A)$ in the exact sequence of Theorem 4.6 can be replaced by $A/[A,A] \otimes HC_1^\alpha(A)$ since they are isomorphic by Proposition 2.10. In particular, if $\alpha_A = \mathrm{id}_A$, the exact sequence in Theorem 4.6 coincides with that of [8, Theorem 5.7].

Acknowledgements

First and second authors were supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spain) (European FEDER support included), grant MTM2013-43687-P. Second author was supported by Xunta de Galicia, grants EM2013/016 and GRC2013-045 (European FEDER support included) and by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant DI/12/5-103/11.

References

[1] F. Borceaux and D. Bourn, *Mal'cev, protomodular, homological and semi-abelian categories*, Math. Appl., vol. **566**, Kluwer Acad. Publ. (2004).

[2] F. Borceaux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, *On the representability of actions in a semi-abelian category*, Theory Appl. Categ. **14** (2005), 244–286.

[3] J. M. Casas, M. A. Insua and N. Pacheco, *On universal central extensions of Hom-Lie algebras*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **44** (2) (2015), 277–288.

[4] J. M. Casas and T. Van der Linden, *Universal central extensions in semi-abelian categories*, Appl. Categor. Struct. **22** (1) (2014), 253–268.

[5] K. Dennis and M. Stein, *K_2 of discrete valuation rings*, Advances Math. **18** (1975), 182–238.

[6] G. Ellis, *Non-abelian exterior products of Lie algebras and an exact sequence in the homology of Lie algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **46** (1987), 111–115.

[7] G. Ellis, *A non-abelian tensor product of Lie algebras*, Glasgow Math. J. **33** (1991), 101–120.

[8] D. Guin, *Cohomologie des algébres de Lie croisées et K-théorie de Milnor additive*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **45** (1) (1995), 93–118.

[9] J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S. D. Silvestrov, *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations*, J. Algebra **295** (2006), 314–361.

[10] P. J. Hilton and U. Stammbach, *A course in homological algebra*, Graduate Texts in Math. **4** (Springer 1971).

[11] N. Inassaridze, E. Khmaladze and M. Ladra, *Non-abelian homology of Lie algebras*, Glasgow Math. J. **46** (2004), 417–429.

[12] Q. Jin and X. Li, *Hom-Lie algebra structures on semi-simple Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), 1398–1408.

[13] J.-L. Loday, *Cyclic homology*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, n. **301**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[14] A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebra structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **2** (2) (2008), 51–64.

[15] A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, *Notes on 1-parameter formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras*, Forum Math. **22** (4) (2010), 715–739.

[16] Y. Sheng, *Representations of Hom-Lie algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **16** (6) (2012), 1081–1098.

[17] D. Yau, *Hom-algebras as deformations and homology*, Arxiv: 0712.3515v1, (2007).

[18] D. Yau, *Enveloping algebras of Hom-Lie algebras*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **2** (2) (2008), 95–108.

[19] D. Yau, *Hom-algebras and homology*, J. Lie Theory **19** (2) (2009), 409–421.